



# wcet

# Q&A



## Online Learning & Academic Dishonesty

February 2016

### Question:

Does anyone have any published studies that show that a Blackboard test (with juggled question numbers and juggled multiple choices) show a lower incidence of cheating when compared to a standard exam with students seated in a classroom all given the same questions on paper - even in the presence of multiple proctors?

- Justin Louder, Assistant Vice Provost, Texas Tech University

### Answer:

You might take a look at some of the publications below.

- Whitney Kilgore, Chief Academic Officer, iDesignEdu

### Online Learning and Academic Dishonesty in Academic Peer-reviewed Publications

Black, E. W., Greaser, J., & Dawson, K. (2008).

Academic dishonesty in traditional and online classrooms: Does the “media equation” hold true? *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 12, 23-30. Retrieved from <http://onlinelearningconsortium.org/read/journal-issues/>

Chiesel, N. (2007). Pragmatic methods to reduce dishonesty in web-based courses. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 8, 203-2011. Retrieved from <http://www.infoagepub.com/index.php?id=89&i=58>

Englander, F., Fask, A., & Wang, Z. (2011). Comment on “The impact of online assessment on grades in community college distance education mathematics

courses” by Ronald W. Yates and Brian Beaudrie. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 25, 114-120. DOI: 10.1080/08923647.2011.565243.

Galbraith, M. W., & Jones, M. S. (2010). Understanding incivility in online teaching. *Journal of Adult Education*, 39, 1-10. Retrieved from <https://www.mpaea.org/?page=publications>

Harmon, O. R., & Lambrinos, J. (2008). Are online exams an invitation to cheat? *Journal of Economic Education*, 39, 116-125. DOI:10.3200/JECE.39.2.116-125.

Hatcher, M., Henson, J. M., LaRosa, P. (2010). Learning information systems concepts: A comparison of student perceptions in





a web-based setting versus a traditional classroom setting. *The International Journal of Learning*, 17, 399-406. Retrieved from <http://ijl.cgpublisher.com/>

Jocoy, C., & DiBiase, D. (2006). Plagiarism by adult learners online: A case study in detection and remediation. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 7, 1-15. Retrieved from <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl>

Khare, A., & Lam, H. (2008). Assessing student achievement and progress with online examinations: Some pedagogical and technical issues. *International Journal of E-Learning*, 7, 383-402. Retrieved from <http://editlib.org/j/IJEL/>

Klein, D. (2011). Why learners choose plagiarism: A review of literature. *Interdisciplinary Journal of E-Learning and Learning Objects*, 7, 97-110. Retrieved from <http://www.informingscience.org/Publications/1385?Source=%2FJournals%2FIJELL%2FArticles%3FVolume%3D7-2011>

Schmidt, S. M. P., Ralph, D. L., Buskirk, B. (2009). Utilizing online exams: A case study. *Journal of College Teaching & Learning*, 6, 1-8. Retrieved from <http://journals.cluteonline.com/index.php/TLC>

Spaulding, M. (2009). Perceptions of academic honesty in online vs. face-to-face classrooms. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 8, 183-198. Retrieved from <http://www.ncolr.org/jiol/>

Styron, J., & Styron, Jr., R. A. (2010). Student cheating and alternative web-based assessment. *Journal of College Teaching & Learning*, 7, 37-42. Retrieved from <http://journals.cluteonline.com/index.php/TLC>

WCET, UT TeleCampus, and Instructional Technology Council. (2009) Best Practice Strategies to Promote Academic Integrity in Online Education. WCET Website. Retrieved from <http://wcet.wiche.edu/sites/default/files/docs/resources/Best-Practices-Promote-Academic-Integrity-2009.pdf>

Underwood, J., & Szabo, A. (2003). Academic offense and e-learning: Individual propensities in cheating. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 34, 467-477. DOI: 10.1111/1467-8535.00343.

Yates, R. W., & Beaudrie B. (2009). The impact of online assessment on grades in community college distance education mathematics courses. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 23, 62-70. DOI: 10.1080/08923640902850601.

